

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,397		11/03/2003	Michael D. Keller	ISM-5	5809	
22855	7590	08/30/2005		EXAM	INER	
RANDALL J. KNUTH P.C.				BRINSON, I	BRINSON, PATRICK F	
4921 DESOTO DRIVE FORT WAYNE, IN 46815				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3754		
RANDAL 4921 DESO	L J. KNU TO DRIV	TH P.C.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/700,397	KELLER, MICHAEL D.
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAII INC DATE of this communication	Patrick F. Brinson	3754
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sneet w	ith the correspondence address —
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replevable of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOIe, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal mat	- · ·
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-9,11-13 and 44-48 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-43 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,11-13 and 44-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	🗀	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/700,397

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-9, 11-13, 44, 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 6,119,714 to Otzen in view of 2,930,155 to Becker.

The patent to **Otzen** discloses a fitting having a body with an upper end and a lower end and an outer radial peripheral surface, the upper end and the lower end of the body defining a longitudinal axis of the fitting. A recess (22) formed in the fitting body at the lower end, the recess having a threaded surface (24) complementary to the threaded surface of the tire stem (30), with tabs (40) and (28), with tab (28) extending generally radially from the fitting at the outer radial peripheral surface, as recited in claim 1. The tab projection piece has a surface bearing indicia, as recited in claim 11. The upper end also includes a surface bearing indicia, with both the tab projection piece and upper end indicia including text, alphanumeric characteristics, local, advertisement, etc. Each tab being removably attached to the fitting body, as recited in claim

Art Unit: 3754

- 47. The fitting has a reduced diameter profile, as recited in claim 4. The patent to **Otzen** discloses the recited structure, including the tab projection piece on a lower end of the fitting body, but does not disclose the tab extending from the fitting body at an outer radial peripheral surface. The patent to **Becker** discloses a threaded fitting body (7) having at its lower peripheral end a projection tab that extends in a cantilevering arrangement in a direction substantially orthogonal to the longitudinal axis of the fitting. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the tab of **Otzen** to extend away from the body, as suggested by **Becker**, in order to provide the tab in an orientation that would provide for easier reading of the indicia thereon.
- 2. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Otzen** in view of **Becker** as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. 4,545,246 to **Starmer**.

The patent to **Otzen**, as modified, discloses the recited structure, but does not disclose the body as having a frustoconical shape, having a tapered profile. The patent to **Starmer** discloses a tire pressure indicating device having body having a frustoconical shape having a tapered profile. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 3754

invention was made to form the body of Otzen, as modified, into a tapered frustoconical shape, as suggested by Starmer, in order to progressively taper the body from a wide upper portion that can be easily gripped by a user for turning to a slimmer lower portion that fits the width of the valve stem.

3. Claims 1, 45 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Otzen** in view of U.S. 5,382,408 to **Perlman**.

The patent to **Otzen** discloses a fitting having a body with an upper end and a lower end and an outer radial peripheral surface, the upper end and the lower end of the body defining a longitudinal axis of the fitting. A recess (22) formed in the fitting body at the lower end, the recess having a threaded surface (24) complementary to the threaded surface of the tire stem (30), with tabs (40) and (28), with tab (28) extending generally radially from the fitting at the outer radial peripheral surface, as recited in claim 1. **Otzen** does not disclose the projection tab extending from the body in a direction oblique to the longitudinal axis of the fitting, nor is the tab hinged to the fitting body. The patent to **Perlman** discloses a body including a projection tab (14) that is hinged to the body. The tab also includes a portion (26) that extends in direction oblique to the axis of the body. The bottom of Col. 6 discloses that the portion (26) is formed with an essentially flat geometry and matte finish to

Art Unit: 3754

facilitate marking and identifying indicia. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the projection tab of **Otzen** to extend in an oblique direction, as suggested by **Perlman** in order to allow easier reading of the indicia and to attach the projection via a hinge, also suggested by **Perlman** in order to enable deflection of the tab piece relative to the body.

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant argues that the Starmer valve cap does not disclose a tab projection piece that extends generally from the fitting body at the radial peripheral surface of the fitting body. The rejections in view of Starmer have been withdrawn. The patent to Otzen, however, does disclose a valve cap with a "projection tab" (28) that surrounds about and therefore extends minimally from the fitting body at the radial peripheral surface. The patents to Becker and Perlman are used to modify Otzen wherein they provide the teachings of further extending the tab from the body in order to provide easier reading of the indicia placed on the tab. Starmer is also used to disclose the teaching of a frustoconical, tapered body that progressively reduces in diameter from a large top to a slender bottom.

Conclusion

Page 6

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Patrick F. Brinson** whose telephone number is (571) 272-4897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Michael Y. Mar** can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick F. Brinson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

P. F. Brinson August 26, 2005